|    | Case 3:02-cv-00133-LRH-RAM   | Document 45 | Filed 01/05/06  | Page 1 of 2  |
|----|--|-------------|-----------------|--------------|
|    |  |             |                 |              |
|    |  |             |                 |              |
|    |  |             |                 |              |
| 1  |  |             |                 |              |
| 2  |  |             |                 |              |
| 3  |  |             |                 |              |
| 4  |  |             |                 |              |
| 5  |  |             |                 |              |
| 6  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |             |                 |              |
| 7  | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |             |                 |              |
| 8  | TERRAL CLEVI GUORTG  |             |                 |              |
| 9  | TERRY GLEN SHORTS,   | )           |                 |              |
| 10 | Petitioner,  | ) 3         | :02-cv-0133-LRH | -RAM         |
| 11 | VS.  | ) (         | ORDER           |              |
| 12 | JOHN IGNACIO, et al.,  | )           |                 |              |
| 13 | Respondents.   |             |                 |              |
| 14 |  |             |                 | 201100000011 |
| 15 | This action is on a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2254, by Terry       |             |                 |              |
| 16 |  |             |                 |              |
| 17 | judgment was issued in this matter on February 16, 2005. See Order (docket #38) and Judgment         |             |                 |              |
| 18 | (docket #39). On October 20, 2005, petitioner signed and presented for delivery to this court, a     |             |                 |              |
| 19 | Motion for Belated Appeal/Motion for Relief From Judgment (docket #41). Petitioner filed a second    |             |                 |              |
| 20 | such motion on December 12, 2005 (docket #44). Those motions shall be denied.                        |             |                 |              |
| 21 | A court may grant relief from a final order or judgment upon a motion for clerical mistakes          |             |                 |              |
| 22 | (Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 60(a)) or for mistakes, inadvertence, excusable neglect, newly discovered         |             |                 |              |
| 23 | evidence, fraud, etc. (Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 60(b)), if the motion is brought in a timely manner and the |             |                 |              |
| 24 | assertions made as a basis to reconsider are shown to be true.                                       |             |                 |              |
| 25 | Petitioner seeks relief from the judgment and an opportunity to file a belated notice of appeal      |             |                 |              |
| 26 | citing excusable neglect and contending that his housing situation at the Ely State Prison has       |             |                 |              |
|    |  |             |                 |              |

interfered with his ability to prepare and file a timely notice of appeal. Petitioner further claims that he does not know how to prepare and file such a notice of appeal and was denied direct access to legal materials and law clerks to assist him with his efforts. Petitioner's criminal/legal history belies the last contention. The simplicity of preparing and filing a notice of appeal belies the first.

Additionally, the true substance of petitioner's motion is not one for relief from judgment, but rather more a motion to allow a belated notice of appeal. The Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, which prescribe the time for filing a notice of appeal, permit a district court to extend the time for filing a notice of appeal, so long as that motion is received by the district court "no later than 30 days after the time prescribed by this Rule 4(a) expires; and . . .that party shows excusable neglect or good cause." Fed. R. App. Pro. 4(a)(5).

Petitioner has not filed his request in compliance with this rule.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the requests for Leave of Court to File a 'Belated' Notice of Appeal/Motion for Relief from Judgment - Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 60(b)" (dockets #41 and #44) are **DENIED**.

Dated this 5<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2006.

LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Eldihi